

Name of meeting: Date: Title of report:

Corporate Parenting Board 10th February 2020 Number and Age of Children in Care

Purpose of report

To provide information to the Board on a regular basis relating to the number and profile of children in our care. Including information related to the number placed outside of the District.

| Key Decision - Is it likely to result in spending or saving £250k or more, or to have a significant effect on two or more electoral wards? | NA |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Key Decision - Is it in the <u>Council's Forward</u> <u>Plan (key decisions and private reports?)</u> | ΝΑ |
| The Decision - Is it eligible for call in by Scrutiny? | NA |
| Date signed off by <u>Strategic Director</u> & name | Elaine McShane for Mel Meggs 9.1.20 |
| Is it also signed off by the Service Director for Finance? | ΝΑ |
| Is it also signed off by the Service Director for Legal Governance and Commissioning? | ΝΑ |
| Cabinet member portfolio | Cllr V Kendrick Children's Portfolio |

Electoral wards affected: All

Ward councillors consulted: No

Public or private: Public

(Have you considered GDPR?)

Yes GDPR has been considered. The information in this report does not identify any individuals.

1. Summary


This graph shows the number of children in care (657 excluding any looked after children receiving only S20 short term breaks) alongside the number of unaccompanied asylum seeking children (UASC).

The current number of LAC equates to a rate per 10,000 population aged 0-17 of 65.6. This compares to a statistical neighbour average of 91.6 and a national average of 65.0 based on recently published data for March 2019.


Kirklees (Nov 19) = 65.6

Statistical Neighbours (2019) = 91.6

England (2019) = 65.0



This graph shows the breakdown by age and gender of the children in care. The largest age group for boys is 11 - 15 years with 112 (116) children and the largest age group for girls is 11 - 15 years with 95 (96) children.




Statistical Neighbours


Local Authorities that the Department for Education regard as similar and close socio economically to Kirklees, not measured by population size.

| Local Authorities "Very Close"* to Kirklees (* Source LAIT) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kirklees Council |
| Rochdale Borough Council |
| Bolton Council |
| Calderdale Council |
| Bury Borough Council |
| Dudley MBC |
| Derby City |
| Lancashire Council |
| Stockton-on Tees Borough Council |
| Leeds City Council |
| Telford & Wrekin Council |

This graph shows the ethnic breakdown of the children looked after population at the end of November 2019 and the same point 12 months ago. This has been relatively stable throughout the period.



This graph shows the percentage breakdown by ethnicity of children entering care this month. Note that this outcome is based on small numbers. In November 2019 there were 5 young people who were recorded as entering care at the point of data extraction in the month of whom 4 were White and 1 was of Black ethnicity.



Ethnicity of children becoming looked after this month

Children and Young People placed more than 20 miles outside of Kirklees

The number of looked after children placed outside Kirklees District and 20 plus miles from their home address was 80 on 30 November 2019. This follows a continuum of decline from a number of 127 in 2017, and a reduction of 9 over the last six months. The majority of our children and young people placed out of area are placed in foster care, the remainder are placed in children's homes, semi-independent homes, a small number in youth custody or other specialist settings.

The current Number of Children and Young People placed outside Kirklees and more than 20 miles from home is 80. This equates to 12.2% of the total LAC cohort. The recent historical position is detailed below:

| | 30 Sept 2019 | | 31 Oct 2019 | | 30 Nov 2019 | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| Placed outside Kirklees & over 20 miles from home address | 78 | 12.2% | 81 | 12.6% | 80 | 12.2% |

Semi Independent Accommodation aged 16 plus

On 30th November there were 44 young people accommodated in semi-independent accommodation, 31 within District and 13 of our young people placed outside of the Kirklees District as detailed below.

Placements outside of Kirklees in semi-independent living accommodation (not subject to Children's Home regulations)

| LA of Placement | Aged 16 | Aged 17 | Total |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Calderdale Metropolitan Borough Council | | 1 | 1 |
| City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Leeds City Council | | 6 | 6 |
| Total | 3 | 10 | 13 |
| | | | |
| Legal Status | Aged 16 | Aged 17 | Total |
| Full Care Order | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| S20 CA 1989 - Single Placement | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Total | 3 | 10 | 13 |

2. Information required to take a decision

No decision is required, this report is submitted at the request of the Board to monitor the number of children in care. This report is also shared with the Children's Scrutiny Panel on a monthly basis.

3. Implications for the Council

- 3.1 Working with People Not applicable
- 3.2 Working with Partners Not applicable
- 3.3 Place Based Working Not applicable

- 3.4 Climate Change and Air Quality Not applicable
- 3.5 **Improving Outcomes for Children** This information is provided at the request of Corporate Parenting Board to monitor the number of children in care their age and location of placements.
- 3.6 **Reducing demand of services** Not applicable
- 3.7 **Other (eg Legal/Financial or Human Resources)** Not applicable
- 4. **Consultees and their opinions** Not applicable
- 5. Next steps A similar report will be presented to future meetings of the Corporate Parenting Board.
- 6. Officer recommendations and reasons That the report be noted.
- 7. **Cabinet portfolio holder's recommendations** Not applicable
- 8. **Contact officer** Julie Bragg, Head of Corporate Parenting
- 9. **Background Papers and History of Decisions** Previous reports to the Children's Scrutiny on number and age of children in care.
- 10. **Service Director responsible** Elaine McShane, Service Director (Child Protection and Family Support)